

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/681,894	10/09/2003	Olivier Durel	P10-1326	9593
	21839	7590 09/19/2005	EXAMINER		
	BUCHANAN INGERSOLL PC (INCLUDING BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS)			MULCAHY, PETER D	
	POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1713	
				DATE MAILED: 00/10/2004	e .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/681,894	DUREL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter D. Mulcahy	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 October 2003</u>. This action is FINAL. ≥b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is 						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-68 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-68 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	· ·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

A

Application/Control Number: 10/681,894 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thurn et al. US 3,873,489 or Scholl et al. US 5,650,457.
- 3. Each of the cited patents shows diene rubber compositions used in tire and tire tread applications, see Scholl et al. in the abstract and Thurn et al. at col. 8, line 6. These patents further show the incorporation of alkoxysilane tetrasulfide compounds.
- 4. These patents are different from the art because there is no example of the claimed compound being used in the diene rubber composition. The claims are obvious because the claimed compound is suggested in the art and one is directed to use it in the diene rubber and, further in tire/tread applications.
- 5. Specifically, the compounds in Scholl et al. differ from the claimed compound in the alkyl bridge between the sulfur and silicon. The patent shows a methyl where the claim is limited to propyl. This is seen to be a homolog of the claimed compound. The propyl bridge is addressed in column 1, lines 28+. Here, a disadvantage is mentioned when using the propyl group as a bridge. Case law has well established that a mentioned disadvantage does not properly constitute grounds for patentability and that homologues must possess unobvious properties in order to be patentable.

Application/Control Number: 10/681,894 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

6. The Thurn et al. patent shows alkoxysilane tetrasulfide compounds where the groups can be selected so as to render the compound obvious. Column 2, lines 40+ shows compounds where the alkyl bridge is preferably 2 or 3. The single alkoxy group on each silicon atom is suggested as well.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).